

# Homework 3

EL-GY 9123 – Game Theory for Multi-Agent Systems

Fall 2014, New York University

Due Date: Oct. 22, 2014

## Announcements

- When you hand in your homework, please place it in the envelop on the door of my office LC 200A.

**Reading Assignment 1.** Read [BO] Chapter 4.1-4.4, 4.6-4.7.

**Reading Assignment 2.** Read [FT] Chapter 4.1-4.4, 4.7. Read Chapter 2 of [RB].

**Reading Assignment 3.** For a quick tutorial on optimization, read <https://www.economics.utoronto.ca/osborne/MathTutorial/IND.HTM>

**Reading Assignment 4.** For a review of results related to vectors and matrices, consult the following link [http://www2.imm.dtu.dk/pubdb/views/edoc\\_download.php/3274/pdf/imm3274.pdf](http://www2.imm.dtu.dk/pubdb/views/edoc_download.php/3274/pdf/imm3274.pdf)

You can use MATLAB, MATHEMATICA or other computational tools (e.g. those listed at [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_optimization\\_software](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_optimization_software)) to compute optimal solutions where needed.

**Reading Assignment 5.** Read the following papers:

- J.B.Rosen, "Existence and Uniqueness of Equilibrium Points for Concave N-Person Games," *Econometrica*, 33(3):520-534, July 1965
- Shu Li and Tamer Başar, "Distributed Algorithms for the Computation of Noncooperative Equilibria," *Automatica*, 23(4):523-533, 1987
- Roy Radner, "Team Decision Problems," *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 33(3):857-881, September 1962
- Tamer Başar, "An Equilibrium Theory for Multiperson Decision Making with Multiple Probabilistic Models," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 30(2):118-132, 1985

- Shu Li and Tamer Başar, “Asymptotic Agreement and Convergence of Asynchronous Stochastic Algorithms,” IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 32(7):612-618, 1987

**Problem 1.** Consider the following version of prisoner’s dilemma:

	$D$	$C$
$D$	$(1,1)$	$(5,0)$
$C$	$(0,5)$	$(4,4)$

where  $P1$  is the row player while  $P2$  is the column player. Both players are maximizers. Suppose this game is played repeatedly with a payoff discount factor of  $\alpha$ . For what values of  $\alpha$  is the trigger strategy (discussed in class) a subgame-perfect equilibrium?

**Problem 2.** Exercise 4.4 from [FT], page 139 (The Rubinstein-Stahl problem is explained in Section 4.4 of the book. You have to just read Section 4.4.1 to understand this problem.)

**Problem 3.** Problem 3 from [BO], pp. 205-206.

**Problem 4.** You are given the static zero-sum game with cost function

$$J(u, w) = |Ax + Bu + Dw|_Q^2 + |u|^2 - \gamma^2|w|^2,$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a given vector,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1}$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{r_2}$  are controlled by Players 1 and 2, respectively,  $A, B, D$  are matrices of compatible dimensions,  $Q$  is a nonnegative definite matrix of dimensions  $n \times n$ ,  $\gamma$  is a positive scalar parameter, and  $|\cdot|$  denotes an appropriate Euclidean (semi-) norm, with subscript standing for the weight, that is  $|x|_Q^2 = x^T Q x$ . Player 1 is the minimizer, and Player 2 is the maximizer.

(i) Write down the precise conditions under which the game admits a pure-strategy saddle point, and also obtain the saddle-point solution.

(ii) Consider the following computational scheme:

$$u(k+1) = \arg \min_u J(u, w^{(k)}), k = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$w(k+1) = \arg \min_w J(u^{(k)}, w), k = 0, 1, \dots$$

which we start with  $u^{(0)} = 0, w^{(0)} = 0$ . Assume that the two sequences thus generated converge, say to

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u^{(k)} = \bar{u}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w^{(k)} = \bar{w}.$$

Is the pair  $(\bar{u}, \bar{w})$  necessarily a saddle-point solution?

(iii) Under what conditions on  $A, B, D, Q$ , and  $\gamma$  do the sequences generated by the algorithm above converge (or have converging subsequences)?

**Problem 5.** Problem 9 from [BO], page 208.

**Problem 6.** Problem 13 from [BO], pages 209-210.

**Problem 7.** Problem 5 from [BO], pages 206-207. (This is a bonus problem. You will get extra credits.)